

## Effect of Feed Restriction during Pregnancy on Performance and Productivity of New Zealand White Rabbit Does

Abeer, A. A.<sup>1</sup>, Souad, A. A.<sup>2</sup>, Fat Halla, S. I.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physiology Dept., Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Benha University.

<sup>2</sup>Animal Hygiene, Behavior and Management Dept., Fac. Vet. Med., Moshtohor, Benha University.

<sup>3</sup>Physiology Dept., Fac. Vet. Med., Menofia University, El-Sadate branch.

### Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on performance and productivity of young rabbit does. Thirty New Zealand white female rabbits of 20 weeks of age (first kindling) and weighed  $2800 \pm 100$  g were randomly divided into three groups. Group 1 (control group): rabbits were provided daily with 185 g of food increased to 200 g from the 15<sup>th</sup> day of gestation (daily food intake of pregnant does according to 19). Group 2 (R<sub>1</sub>, early feed restriction): rabbits were offered daily a restricted amount of food (60 % restriction, 111g) for the first half of pregnancy (the first fifteen days) then offered 200 g of food daily till parturition. Group 3 (R<sub>2</sub>, late feed restriction): rabbits were provided with 185 g of food daily through the first half of pregnancy then offered daily a restricted amount of food (60% restriction, 120 g) for the second half of pregnancy. Treatments started after natural mating. After parturition, all does and their young were provided *ad libitum* amount of feed till weaning. Does were weighed individually at the start of the experiment then every two weeks and just after kindling. For each doe, the living pups were weighed to obtain the total litter weight and the mean pup weight at birth. Then pups were weighed at 21 days of age and at the day of weaning (the 30<sup>th</sup> day). Five days after kindling, two blood samples were taken from each female, one for determination of the hematological parameters and the other for separation of serum for determination of prolactin and serum protein concentration. The results of this study revealed that, feed restriction during the first half of gestation did not affect maternal body weights, litter size, litter weight or mean pup weight at birth as compared to the control group. Whereas feed restriction during the second half of gestation was accompanied with significant reduction in the weights of does at the 4<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy and at kindling. This decrease became non significant from the second week after kindling till the end of the experiment. The litter weight at birth and the individual weaning weight of R<sub>2</sub> were significantly reduced. The highest mortality was recorded in pups of R<sub>2</sub>. No significant differences in blood parameters or serum prolactin were observed between the control and feed restricted groups. The total protein was significantly reduced in serum of R<sub>2</sub> group. It is concluded that, in order to reduce the excessive fatness of young rabbit does, restricted feeding during the first half (the first two weeks) of gestation is recommended.

**Keywords:** Feed restriction, rabbit, pregnancy, litter size, body weight, blood parameters, total protein, prolactin.

### Introduction

It is a common practice in commercial rabbit production to feed rabbit does to appetite directly after mating and during gestation. The young rabbit does fed *ad libitum* with diets of high energy level often show parturition problems, with the subsequent reduction of the number of newborn rabbits, linked to excessive fatness (9). In order to reduce the excessive fatness of young rabbit does, restricted feeding

during pregnancy is frequently applied to obtain uniformity in their body weights, to avoid fattening and high mortalities around parturition (26), to increase voluntary intake at the beginning of the lactation period and to allow a long productive life (21). Also, feed restriction could be exploited in the feeding regimen of rabbits, especially in periods of inadequate supply of concentrates and forages (36). But, feed restriction for rabbits has to be considered as a stress condition and applied with attention when other stressors occur (3).

It is well known that, fetal growth is dependent on an adequate supply of oxygen and nutrients crossing the placenta from the mother (24). Moreover, nutrient supply to embryos and fetuses is exclusively dependent upon the mother (32). Consequently, as the body sizes of the does decreases, the weight at birth of their young is also reduced (13). Furthermore, reduction of total caloric intake during pregnancy, eating disorders and related indicators such as low pregnancy weights of rabbit does account for a significant proportion of intrauterine growth retardation (15). Moreover, factors that perturb fetal substrate supply and are known to be responsible for much fetal growth restriction, such as placental insufficiency or poor maternal nutrition, are implicated in the long-term programming of adult dysfunction and disease (1). Previous studies concluded that, after the restoration of maternal nutrition over the second half of gestation, fetal adipose tissue development is enhanced, which may act to place these individuals at increased risk of obesity in later life (2). However, details of the influence of restricted feeding of the pregnant does on their blood parameters shortly after parturition and on the performance of their offspring are still obscure.

So, the aim of this work is to evaluate the effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on maternal body weights, weights of offspring at birth and weaning as well as some hematological and biochemical parameters of the young rabbit does.

## Materials and Methods

### Experimental design

Thirty New Zealand white female rabbits of 20 weeks of age (first kindling) and weighed  $2800 \pm 100$  g were randomly divided into three equal groups. **Group 1 (control group):** rabbits were provided daily with 185 g of food increased to 200 g from the 15<sup>th</sup> day of gestation (daily food intake of pregnant does according to 19). **Group 2 (R<sub>1</sub>, early feed restriction):** rabbits were offered daily a restricted amount of food (60 % restriction, 111g) for the first half of pregnancy (the first fifteen days) then offered 200 g of food daily till parturition. **Group 3 (R<sub>2</sub>, late feed restriction):** rabbits were provided with 185 g of food daily through the first half of pregnancy then offered daily a restricted amount of food (60% restriction, 120 g) for the second half of pregnancy. Treatments started after natural mating. After parturition, all does and their young were provided *ad libitum* amount of feed till weaning. Rabbits were reared in individual cages (50 cm x 50 cm x 30 cm) of galvanized wire net, equipped

with an automatic drinker and a manual feeder. Rabbits were provided with a commercial pellet diet, containing 18% crude protein and 2700 Kcal/Kg ration metabolizable energy (ME). Does were submitted to a 16 hours photoperiod daily and the minimum ambient temperature was set at  $18 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Fourteen days after mating, does were palpated to check pregnancy and non pregnant does were excluded from the experiment. Five days before kindling, does were provided an access to nest boxes that were attached to each cage. Does were weighed individually at the start of the experiment then every two weeks and just after kindling. After kindling, the nest boxes were checked for live and still born pups. For each doe, the living pups were weighed to obtain the total litter weight at birth which then divided by the number of pups (litter size at birth) to calculate the mean pup weight at birth. Then pups were weighed at 21 days of age and at the day of weaning (the 30<sup>th</sup> day). Pups mortality rate % was recorded for each group from birth till weaning.

### **Blood collection**

At the day of sampling, five days after kindling in does (**23**), blood samples were collected in the morning (10.00 a.m.) from the ear veins. Two blood samples were taken from each female, one sample was taken into a tube containing EDTA for determination of the hematological parameters and the other was taken without an anticoagulant, centrifuged at 3000 g then the serum was collected and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until assayed for prolactin hormone and the total protein concentration.

### **Hematological and biochemical analysis**

Packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cell number (RBCs) and hemoglobin concentration (Hb) were determined using Wintrobe 's microhematocrite, improved Neubauer hemocytometer and cyanomethemoglobin method, respectively. The erythrocytic indices; mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) were calculated according to (**14**). Determination of serum prolactin was done according to (**34**) while determination of total protein concentration was done according to (**6**).

### **Statistical analysis**

All data underwent a one-way ANOVA. Differences among means were tested by Duncan's multiple range test (**29**).

## **Results and Discussion**

Results of the effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on maternal body weights were presented in table1. Feed restriction during the first half of gestation did not affect the maternal body weights, whereas feed restriction during the second half of gestation was accompanied with significant reduction in the weights of

does at the 4<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy and at kindling. This decrease became non significant from the second week after kindling till the end of the experiment. Similar results were obtained by a previous investigations which recorded that, dams lost a significant amount of body weights throughout the gestation period as a result of undernutrition, but they were able to catch up to the *ad libitum* group by day 10 postnatal (35). Other research recorded that, after delivery, the control group exhibited higher body weight than the restricted does, but at time of weaning all the mothers had similar live weights (23). The results of the present study could be attributed to that, as the post-middle period of pregnancy is vulnerable to effects of reduced food consumption in pregnant rabbits (30), food restricted females mobilized body fat and reduced their energy expenditure for maintenance and activity especially when feed restriction occurred shortly before parturition (22) resulting in a significant reduction in the weights of does subjected to feed restriction during the second half of gestation from the 4<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy till the kindling. But after kindling, these does were provided *ad libitum* amount of feed and they were able to catch up to the control group by the 15<sup>th</sup> day of delivery. That could be ascertained by, the animal under quantitative and qualitative feed restriction exhibited compensative growth as a consequence of increased food intake after restricted feeding (23). Consequently, when food was provided *ad libitum* to the previously restricted does, weight gain was significantly higher than that in the *ad libitum* group (12 and 2).

**Table 1:** The effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on maternal body weights (means  $\pm$  SE)

Parameter \ Group	Control	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
Weight of does at the start (g)	2860.00 $\pm$ 77.67 <sup>a</sup>	2790.00 $\pm$ 165.63 <sup>a</sup>	2873.33 $\pm$ 38.44 <sup>a</sup>
Weight of does after two weeks (g)	3216.67 $\pm$ 72.65 <sup>a</sup>	2966.67 $\pm$ 130.17 <sup>a</sup>	3100.00 $\pm$ 76.38 <sup>a</sup>
Weight of does after four weeks (g)	3500.00 $\pm$ 57.74 <sup>a</sup>	3443.33 $\pm$ 34.80 <sup>a</sup>	3196.67 $\pm$ 68.88 <sup>b</sup>
Weight of does at kindling day (g)	3140.00 $\pm$ 66.58 <sup>a</sup>	3083.33 $\pm$ 95.28 <sup>a</sup>	2783.33 $\pm$ 70.55 <sup>b</sup>
Weight of does after six weeks (g)	3540.00 $\pm$ 95.39 <sup>a</sup>	3490.00 $\pm$ 66.58 <sup>a</sup>	3480.00 $\pm$ 58.59 <sup>a</sup>
Weight of does after eight weeks (g)	3593.33 $\pm$ 63.59 <sup>a</sup>	3556.67 $\pm$ 177.42 <sup>a</sup>	3540.00 $\pm$ 63.51 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a,b,c</sup>. Means with the different superscripts in the same raw are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

R<sub>1</sub>, early feed restriction

R<sub>2</sub>, late feed restriction

The present study revealed that, feeding level during gestation period did not

affect the litter size at birth (table, 2). There were no abortions, external, visceral, or skeletal malformations associated with any of the levels of maternal body weight loss due to feed restriction. Similar results were obtained in rabbits (27) and in rats (35). However, previous studies investigated the effect of feeding level during first gestation in young rabbit does on reproductive performance, recorded a reduced number of live born kits as a result of low-feeding level during early gestation (5) and increased litter size with increased weight of does (37 and 25). Also, feed restriction of pregnant does resulted in developmental abnormalities expressed by abortion, reduced fetal weight, and alterations in ossification (4). One potential explanation for the absence of the effect of feeding level on litter size in the present study is that, the young rabbit does could maintain normal blood supply to their embryos during this feeding restriction level as indicated by the hematological results of the present study which revealed that hematological parameters did not affected by feed restriction (table, 3).

In the present study it was clear that, feed restriction during the first half of gestation increased the litter weight at birth and the mean pup weights from birth till weaning as compared to the control group but the differences were non significant at any experimental periods (table,2). These results disagree with the result obtained by a previous study which recorded a significant decrease in the weights of fetuses carried by does subjected to different degrees of feed restriction maintained for various periods during gestation (8 and 7). The obtained results could be ascertained by that, in the first and second weeks after feed restriction, compensatory feed intake occurred and does which ate more than average during the last week of gestation had heavier kits than does eating less than average feed intake (27) and in most instances, the energy level of the diet fed to the does influenced birth weight (higher energy level, greater weight) (26).

On the other hand, feed restriction during the second half of gestation significantly decreased the litter weight at birth as well as the postnatal pup weights; however, the differences were significant only from the 21<sup>st</sup> day till weaning (table, 2). Similar results were obtained by some previous studies which recorded that, offspring from undernourished group were significantly smaller at birth and were significantly less active at all ages independent on postnatal nutrition (33). The reduced litter weight at birth of R<sub>2</sub> in the present study could be attributed to the significantly reduced total protein concentration measured in the serum of the does (table, 3) where it is well known that, the maternal body composition and diet are thought to affect the fetal development as a result of both direct effects on substrate availability to the fetus and indirectly through changes in placental functions and structure (11). Furthermore, it was recorded that, birth weight of rabbit pups was correlated with daily weight gain and weight at weaning (31). So that, the reduction observed in mean pup weights of R<sub>2</sub> from birth till weaning could be attributed to the reduced birth weight not to the amount of milk intake (no significant differences were recorded between control and feed restricted does in serum prolactin concentrations (table, 3).

As regarding the mortality rate % among the offspring from birth till weaning, the pups of feed restricted does during the second half of gestation showed a significantly higher mortality rate % than the pups of the control does and feed restricted does during the first half of gestation period (table, 2). The result disagreed with the result recorded in a previous research (23) which recorded similar number of rabbits at weaning as well as similar mortality rates for all groups (pups of control does and pups of does under different nutritive levels). The result recorded in the present study could be attributed to the significantly reduced litter weight ( $236.67 \pm 8.82$  g) as well as the reduced pup weight ( $48.22 \pm 3.86$  g) of R<sub>2</sub> at birth. Whereas, within any given rabbit breed there is a close correlation between the weight of offspring at birth and their viability (30). Furthermore, a previous study (10) concluded that, in the medium sized breeds (including New Zealand white rabbits) the minimum birth weight consistent with the survival was between 40 and 45 g which was very close to the birth weight of R<sub>2</sub> ( $48.22 \pm 3.86$  g) in the present study.

**Table 2:** The effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on litter performance (means  $\pm$  SE).

Parameter \ Group	Control	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
Litter Size at birth	$6.00 \pm 0.58^a$	$5.67 \pm 0.33^a$	$5.00 \pm 0.58^a$
Total litter weight at birth (g)	$304.17 \pm 8.21^a$	$320.00 \pm 17.32^a$	$236.67 \pm 8.82^b$
Mean pup weight at birth (g)	$51.57 \pm 4.60^a$	$57.22 \pm 6.55^a$	$48.22 \pm 3.86^a$
Mean pup weight at the 21 <sup>st</sup> day (g)	$380.00 \pm 11.55^b$	$446.67 \pm 8.82^a$	$308.33 \pm 11.67^c$
Individual weaning body weight (g)	$471.67 \pm 14.81^b$	$558.33 \pm 16.19^a$	$411.67 \pm 7.26^c$
Mortality rate %	$4.33 \pm 0.67^a$	$3.33 \pm 0.88^a$	$7.00 \pm 0.58^b$

<sup>a,b,c</sup>. Means with the different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

R<sub>1</sub>, early feed restriction

R<sub>2</sub>, late feed restriction

The blood parameters and serum prolactin concentrations of the does at the 5<sup>th</sup> day post partum were shown in table 3, there were no significant differences in RBCs, Hb, PCV, MCV, MCH or MCHC values between the control and feed restricted groups. The obtained results agreed with the results of a previous study (17) which concluded that hematological examination of pregnant does revealed variations in several blood parameters only in animals subjected to restricted feeding at 20 g/head/day when blood samples were collected on gestation day 19. Also, there were no significant differences recorded in serum prolactin concentrations between the control and feed restricted groups. Similar results were obtained in rat (20), in ewes (2) and in mare (18). Whereas, a previous study recorded some specific changes in the

endocrine status during food restriction depending on the severity of food restriction and its duration (28).

Feed restriction during the first half of gestation did not affect the serum protein level whereas feed restriction during the second half of gestation significantly decreased the total protein as compared with the control group and this finding reflected low nutritive conditions due to restricted feeding as previously reported (16 and 17). The significantly reduced total protein concentrations measured in serum of feed restricted does at the second half of gestation could be the cause of the significantly reduced weights of their litters at birth.

**Table 3:** The effect of the stage of feed restriction during pregnancy on hematological findings of does at the 5<sup>th</sup> day post partum (means  $\pm$  SE).

Parameter \ Group	Control	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
RBCs (10 <sup>6</sup> /μl)	3.64 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	3.76 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	3.33 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>
PCV (%)	30.65 $\pm$ 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	29.26 $\pm$ 0.59 <sup>a</sup>	28.34 $\pm$ 0.75 <sup>a</sup>
Hb (g/dl)	9.14 $\pm$ 0.54 <sup>a</sup>	9.24 $\pm$ 0.39 <sup>a</sup>	8.31 $\pm$ 0.41 <sup>a</sup>
MCV (fl)	84.79 $\pm$ 4.52 <sup>a</sup>	78.61 $\pm$ 5.21 <sup>a</sup>	85.39 $\pm$ 2.17 <sup>a</sup>
MCH (pg)	25.15 $\pm$ 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	24.74 $\pm$ 1.19 <sup>a</sup>	24.98 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>
MCHC (%)	29.79 $\pm$ 1.36 <sup>a</sup>	31.59 $\pm$ 1.29 <sup>a</sup>	29.30 $\pm$ 0.86 <sup>a</sup>
Prolactin	3.85 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	4.20 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	3.51 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>a</sup>
Total protein (g/dl)	5.63 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.90 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.92 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a,b,c</sup>. Means with the different superscripts in the same row are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

R<sub>1</sub>, early feed restriction

R<sub>2</sub>, late feed restriction

## Conclusion

In order to reduce the excessive fatness of young rabbit does, restricted feeding during the first half (the first two weeks) of gestation is recommended as feed restriction for 15 days during early gestation does not affect the performance of young does, which had been fed to appetite during rearing.

## References

1. **Barker, D. J. P. (1998):** Mothers, Babies and Health in Later Life. London: Churchill Livingstone.
2. **Bispham, J.; Gopalakrishnan, G. S.; Dandrea, J.; Wilson, V.; Budge, H.; Keisler, D. H.; Broughton Pipkin, F. ; Stephenson T. and Symonds, M. E. (2003):** Maternal endocrine adaptation throughout pregnancy to nutritional manipulation: consequences for maternal plasma Leptin and Cortisol and the programming of fetal adipose tissue development. *Endocrinology*, 144 (8): 3575-3585.
3. **Bovera, F.; Di Meo, C.; Marono, S.; Vella N. and Nizza, A. (2008):** Feed restriction during summer: effect on rabbit growth performance. *Nutrition and Digestive Physiology*. 9<sup>th</sup> World Rabbit Congress –Verona – Italy.
4. **Capon, G. D.; Fleeman, T. L.; Chapin, R. E. and Hurtt, M. E. (2005):** Effect of feed restriction during organogenesis on embryo- fetal development in rabbit. *Birth Defects Res. B*. 74: 424-430.
5. **Coudert, P. and Lebas, F. (1985):** Coudert and Lebas, Production et morbidité de lapines reproductrices. Part I. Effets du rationnement alimentaire avant et pendant la première gestation. *Ann. Zootech.* 34 (1): 31-48.
6. **Doumas, B. and biggs, H. (1972):** Standard Method of Clinical Chemistry, Vol. 7. Academic press, New York.
7. **Fortun-Lamothe, L. (1998):** Effect of pre-mating energy intake on reproductive performance of rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.* 66: 263-269.
8. **Fortun-Lamothe L. and Lebas F. (1994):** Influence of the number of suckling young and the feed level on fetal survival and growth in rabbit does. *Ann. Zootech.* 43: 163-171
9. **Fortun-Lamothe L. and Lebas F. (1996):** Effects of dietary energy level and source on foetal development and energy balance in concurrently pregnant and lactating primiparous rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.* 62: 615-620.
10. **Garsia-Ximenez, F. and Vicente, J.S. (1991):** Propuesta de un criterio de valoracion de la capacidad uterine namerico en coneja. *ITEA Prod. Anim.* 87A.65-71.
11. **Godfrey, K. M. (2002):** The role of the placenta in fetal programming. *Placenta*. 23 (A): 20-7.
12. **Grantham, L. E.; Rohn, W. R. and Petreere, J. A. (1993):** Food restriction during organogenesis in rabbits: effects on reproduction and the offspring. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* 21 (4): 512-522.
13. **Holdas, S. and Szendro, Zs. (2001):** Breeds of rabbits (In Mihok, S., Breeds of domestic animals). Mesogazda Kiado. Budapest.
14. **Jain, N.C. (1986):** Schalm's Veterinary Hematology, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Lea & febiger, Philadelphia, USA.
15. **Kramer, M. S. (1993):** Determinants of low birth weight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. *Bull. World Health Organ*, 65: 663-737.
16. **Matasuzawa, T.; Nakata, M., Goto, I. and Tsushima, M. (1981):** Dietary deprivation induces fetal loss and abortion in rabbits. *Toxicology*, 22.255-259.
17. **Matsuoka, T.; Mizoguchi, Y.; Serizawa, K.; Ishikura, T.; Mizuguchi, H. and Asano, Y. (2006):** Effects of stage and degree of restricted feeding on pregnancy outcome in rabbits. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* 31 (2): 169-175.
18. **McManus, C. J. and Fitzgerald, B. P. (2000):** Effects of a single day of feed restriction on



changes in serum leptin, gonadotropins, prolactin, and metabolites in aged and young mares. *Domest. Anim Endocrinol.* 19 (1): 1-13

**19. Moody, E. G. (1991):** Rabbit learning activities in *Raising Small Animals*. Chapter 10. PP: 187-209. Farming Press Books.UK.

**20. Nakanishi, Y., Mori, J, and Nagasawa, H. (1976):** Recovery of pituitary secretion of gonadotrophins and prolactin during re-feeding after chronic restricted feeding in female rats. *J. Endocrinol.* 69 (3): 329 - 39.

**21. Partridge G. G.; Daniels Y. and Fordyce R. A. (1986):** The effects of energy intake during pregnancy in doe rabbits on pup birth weight, milk output and maternal body composition change in the ensuing lactation. *J. Agric. Sc., Cambridge*, 107: 697-708.

**22. Rasmussen K. M. (1998):** Effects of under- and overnutrition on lactation in laboratory rats. *J. Nutr.* 128, 2 Suppl: 390-393.

**23. Rizzi C.; Chiericato, G. M. and Dalle Zotte, A. (2008):** Reproductive and physiological responses of rabbit does under different nutritive levels before the first parturition. 9th World Rabbit Congress, Verona – Italy, June, 437- 441.

**24. Robinson, J. S; Owens, J. A, and Owens, P. C. (1994):** Fetal growth and growth retardation. In: *Textbook of Fetal Physiology*, edited by Thorburn GD, and Harding R. New York: Oxford Univ. Press, 83-94.

**25. Rommers, J. M. (2003):** Strategies for rearing of rabbit does. Wageningen University dissertation no. 3486 21, November.

**26. Rommers; J. M.; Kemp, B.; Meijerhof, R. and Noordhuizen, J. P. T. M. (2001):** The effect of litter size before weaning on subsequent body development, feed intake, and reproductive performance of young rabbit does. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 79: 1973-1982.

**27. Rommers, J. M., Meijerhof R., Noordhuizen J. P. T. M. and Kemp B. (2004):** The effect of level of feeding in early gestation on reproductive success in young rabbit does. *Anim. Repr. Sci.*, 81 (1-2): 151-158.

**28. Rommers, J. M; Cora, J. F. A; Boiti, C; Brecchia, G; Meijerhof, R; Noordhuizen, J. P. T. M; Decuyper, E. and Kemp, B. (2007):** Metabolic adaptation and hormonal regulation in young rabbit does during long-term caloric restriction and subsequent compensatory growth. *Anim. Sci.* 79: 255-264

**29. SAS (2000):** *User's guide, Statistics (version 6.03)*: Edition SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

**30. Serizawa, K; Mizoguchi, Y. and Matsouka, T. (2006):** Effects of stage and degree of restricted feeding on pregnancy outcome in rabbits. *Toxicol. Sci.* 31(2): 69-75

**31. Schulte, I. and Hoy, S. (1997):** Untersuchungen zur Saugeverhalten und zur Mutter-Kind abeziehung bei Hauskaninchen. *Berliner-Munchener Tierarz. Wochenschr.* 110.134-138.

**32. Szendrő , Zs. and Maertens, L. (2001):** Maternal effects during pregnancy and lactation in rabbits (Review). *Acta Agraria Kaposvariensis.* 5 (2): 1-21.

**33. Vickers, M. H.; Breier, B. H.; McCarthy. D. and Gluckman, P. D. (2003):** Sedentary behavior during postnatal life is determined by the prenatal environment and exacerbated by postnatal hypercaloric nutrition. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 285: 271–273.

**34. Whitley, R. J; Meikle, A.W. and Watts, N. B. (1994):** Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia. Chapter 35. PP: 1674-1676.

**35 Woodall, S. M.; Breier, B. H.; Johnston, B. M. and Gluckman, P. D. (1996):** A model of intrauterine growth retardation caused by chronic maternal undernutrition in the rat: effects on the somatotrophic axis and postnatal growth. *J. Endocrinol.* 150: 231–242.

**36. Yakubu, A.; Salako, A. E.; Ladokun, A. O. Adua, M. M and Bature, T. U. K. (2007):** Effects of feed restriction on performance, carcass yield, relative organ weights and some linear body measurements of weaner rabbits. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 6 (4): 391-396.

**37. Young C. M. and Rasmussen K. M. (1985):** Effects of varying degrees of chronic dietary restriction in rat dams on reproductive and lactational performance and body composition in dams and their pups. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 41: 979 - 987.